

Hunua 4 – Systems Innovation NEC Compliance Management



- **Richard McIntosh – H4 Project Manager**

- Richard is part of the Major Projects group at Watercare which is responsible for delivery of Watercare's major capital works projects.

- **Jon Marshall**

- Jon is the regional general manager for Conject Pacific. The company is a subsidiary of UK based Conject which is one of the largest supplier of compliance management software to construction projects in the world

Overview – H4 Project

- **Overview**

- H4 Overview - \$400million drinking water pipeline for Auckland

- **Project Timeframe – 2010 until 2018**

- **Phases**

- Investigation and enabling works
 - Staged Design
 - Staged Construction

- **Contracts – NEC ECC and Supply**

▪ **Why choose NEC3?**

- Suite of aligned contracts
- Collaborative contract
- Pro-active risk management
- Effective process for dealing with change

Promotes good practice management

▪ **Contract Management before a system**

- Number of spreadsheets to manage communications
- Challenge to monitor timeframes
- Lack of document tracking and association
- Takes time to manage documents

Overview – Compliance Functions

▪ **Contract Control**

- Managing all contractual communications across the supply chain
- Managing NEC – SC, PSC , ECC , ECS
- Application of formal Communications Process
- Reporting

▪ **Compliance Key Objectives**

- Manage the large amounts of data created during the project – avoid dependence on PDF and email
- Drive Accountability/Prioritisation – all project members know their next step and when they have to take it
- Drive visibility – ensure client/consultants/contractors have visibility across the supply chain of what is or what is not happening
- Drive Auditability – ensure drill down through the change decision process and provide a complete “as-built” of project change communications in a central repository
- Drive Reporting – data forms allow a lot of in project and cross project programme level reports to be created

- NEC3 Health Check:
 - Identified improvements to the project
 - Contract management system was the key recommendation
- Management System:
 - Reduced the time spent manually managing document control
 - One place for contract documentation
 - Tracks workflow and timeframes and displays this on dashboard
 - Visibility and association of documents through the NEC3 process
 - Varying rights of access depending on role and company

- Pitfalls and Lessons for the next Project
 - Document the scope – ensure all parties understand the process for design and approval during the document design phase
 - IT – get all IT parties involved early at both WSL and Consultants as IT firewalls have created performance issues (SaaS versus Local installs)
 - Partners – we didn't do a good job of engaging partners or explaining what we wanted to achieve
 - Train up Super users – investment for the future
 - Client investment in training its Supply Chain Partners

Expected Benefits



▪ Reduce Cost

- Reduce disputes and analysis of change on the project
- Reduce inaccuracy of drawings and contractual communications through visibility and auditability
- Reduce misunderstood, lost communications through accountability
- Reduce delay through prioritisation and early notice of potential change/risk

▪ Improve Outcomes and Analysis

- Eliminate attaching documents to email so ensuring all change communications are accessible and reportable
- Ensure cost data is readily available and monitored including expected and agreed cost changes
- Reduce or eliminate disputes

Questions

